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In 2002, a growing number of patients in Dr. Jane Hightower’s San Francisco general internal medicine practice were complaining of unusual symptoms such as memory problems, hair loss, fatigue, tremors and stomach aches. These otherwise healthy Californians exercised and paid attention to their diets. They also ate fish. A lot of fish.

On a hunch, Dr. Hightower began to quiz her patients about what kind of fish they liked and how often they ate it. She started running mercury tests and found that her patients’ symptoms corresponded with heavy fish consumption – particularly of swordfish and tuna – and extremely high mercury levels, far higher than government authorities consider safe. When patients cut the mercury-contaminated fish from their diets, their symptoms disappeared.¹

Dr. Hightower’s findings evoked a much more serious epidemic that beset communities around Japan’s Minamata Bay in the 1950s. Large numbers of fishermen who depended on the bay reported health problems: numbness, tingling in their hands and feet, tremors, blurred vision, and memory problems. An investigation revealed that a local chemical factory had been dumping mercury waste directly into the bay, and the fishermen and their families were ingesting it in fish.² Over the years, thousands of people were poisoned and crippled, and hundreds died from mercury poisoning.³

Dr. Hightower’s patients, however, were not all eating fish poisoned locally. Many were eating fish caught far away, which brought a sobering fact to light: fish throughout the world’s oceans are contaminated with mercury. We are all at risk.

A United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) scientist now estimates that one out of every six pregnant American women has enough mercury in her blood to cause neurological damage to her developing baby. Each year, hundreds of thousands of babies are born with enough mercury to pose developmental risks.⁴

We have known about the health consequences of mercury in our environment for decades. Neither that long-term knowledge, nor the tremendous amount of attention paid to this issue in recent months has solved the problem. This Oceana report exposes a major mercury source that has been largely ignored despite the fact that it is entirely preventable: chlorine factories that use outdated, 19th century manufacturing processes. Eliminating the use of mercury in these factories would dramatically reduce the mercury contamination otherwise entering our environment, benefiting children, adults, and many marine animals.
Mercury is a Global Problem

It is well established that mercury is a global problem. Over the past century, industrial activity has released massive quantities of the toxic chemical into the air and water. Scientists estimate that 50 to 75 percent of the mercury in the atmosphere comes from human sources, and some studies have shown a two- to four-fold increase in mercury concentrations in the planet’s air and water since the pre-industrial era. Mercury in the environment builds up in wildlife through a process called bioaccumulation. Animals high on the food chain carry the most mercury, and many of the fish we eat are close to the top of the marine food chain.

The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) reports that fish and fish products – both caught and purchased – are the greatest source of methylmercury ingested by humans. Contaminated fish can be found in all the oceans of the world, as mercury climbs up the marine food chain and onto our dinner plates. Forty-five of the fifty U.S. states issued mercury advisories for recreationally caught fish in 2003. Governments in the U.S. and Europe have warned women and children against eating particular fish species including swordfish, shark, tilefish, king mackerel, marlin, pike and tuna. Decades of pollution have caught up with us; now we have become the victims. In fact, a United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) scientist estimates that one out of six women now has enough mercury in her blood to pose neurological risks to her developing child.

Mercury-Based Chlorine Plants Rival Coal-Burning Power Plants as Mercury Polluters

As the extent of mercury contamination in our environment, our bodies and our food comes to light, concern over mercury pollution is increasing. Most media and public attention has focused on coal-burning power plants. They are big; they are dirty; they are many. They are, collectively, one of our planet’s largest sources of mercury emissions. But they are not the only major mercury polluter. Most people remain unaware that a small subset of the chlorine industry makes a major – and completely preventable – contribution to the global mercury crisis.

Chlorine is a chemical building-block used in everything from swimming pools to plastic tents to paper towels. In 1894, a process was devised to produce chlorine by pumping a saltwater solution (brine) through a vat of mercury, or “mercury-cell,” that catalyzes an electrolytic chemical reaction. Newer technologies that do not use mercury have developed, but a number of plants around the world have continued to use the outdated technology – to dangerous effect.

All mercury-cell chlorine plants are required to report their mercury releases to air, water and off-site disposal each year. In the U.S., the industry’s self-reported figures are high enough to rank chlorine plants 5th in mercury emissions, among all industries releasing mercury into the air. It is very likely, however, that the quantity of mercury chlorine plants actually emit is much higher. In fact, releases from chlorine plants may be high enough to rival those from power plants, the presumed greatest source of mercury releases to air, in the U.S. and Europe.

“Lost” Mercury Could Make Chlorine Plants Worst Mercury Polluter of All

The chlorine industry’s reported figures for mercury releases to air are based in part on monitored smokestack emissions, but they also include the industry’s estimates of the amount of mercury that evaporates during routine operations and escapes through unmonitored ventilation systems and other leaks – so-called “fugitive emissions.” According to the company reports, chlorine plants’ fugitive emissions are nine times greater than monitored mercury releases. Yet this is an estimate only, and there is good reason to suspect that fugitive mercury emissions are even greater than the industry suggests.

In addition to reported releases, mercury-based chlorine plants in the U.S. and Europe “lose” a tremendous amount of mercury each year. Annually,
the industry uses, or “consumes,” far more mercury than it reports having released. But mercury does not get used up in the process of making chlorine. Only small amounts of it end up as impurities in the product. Since mercury is an element, it does not break down into other substances. It has to go somewhere. The lost mercury could be in the air, in the water, in the soil, or in the chlorine facility itself.

The discrepancy between what the industry reports having consumed and what it reports having released is substantial, to say the least:

- In 2000, the nine chlorine plants in the U.S. reported having consumed 79 tons (71 metric tons) of mercury and released 14 tons (~13 metric tons). They had “lost” 65 tons (59 metric tons) far more than the entire combined mercury releases of all 497 power plants in the country (49 tons / 44 metric tons). The EPA declared that “the fate of all the mercury-consumed at mercury-cell chlor-alkali plants remains somewhat of an enigma.”

- In 2000, the chlorine plants in the first fifteen member states of the European Union (EU-15) reported having used 105 tons (95 metric tons) of mercury. For the same year, the industry reported that 8.8 tons (8 metric tons) of mercury had been released to the environment. Ninety-six tons (87 metric tons) of mercury were unaccounted for. This is almost three times the amount of mercury released to the air by all coal-fired power plants and residential heating in the European Union that year, which totaled about 33 tons (30 metric tons).

The industry contends that the missing mercury seeps into factory infrastructure and equipment. However, what little evidence there is suggests that this explanation is inadequate. A recent plant closure in Maine provides one example. When the pipes and equipment were cleaned out, 33 tons (30 metric tons) of mercury were still missing. It is far more likely that much of the missing mercury escapes as unmonitored fugitive emissions.

Even if only half of the lost mercury is released to the environment in this way, the mercury-based chlorine industry would rival coal-fired power plants as the greatest source of mercury pollution in both the United States and Europe.

### Mercury-Emitting Chlorine Plants in the United States

There are nine mercury-cell chlorine plants still operating in the United States, located in eight states: Alabama, Delaware, Georgia, Louisiana, Ohio, Tennessee, West Virginia and Wisconsin. According to the industry’s own reported estimates, the average mercury-based chlorine plant released 1097 lbs (499 kg) of mercury to air in 2002.

In the same year, on average, power plants in the United States released a total of 186 lbs (85 kg) of mercury to air. Of the 100 power plants with the highest mercury emissions, the average was 586 lbs (266 kg). More simply put, according to industry reported figures (which do not include any of the “lost” mercury), the average mercury-based chlorine plant released five times more mercury than the average mercury-emitting power plant, and twice as much on average as a large power plant in 2002.
Other statistics are equally compelling:

- In seven of the eight states where they operated in 2002, a mercury-based chlorine plant was the largest source of mercury emissions to air in the state. This includes Alabama, Delaware, Louisiana, Ohio, Tennessee, West Virginia and Wisconsin.

- In both Louisiana and Delaware, mercury-based chlorine plants released more mercury in 2002 than all other sources combined.

- ASHTA Chemicals, a mercury based chlorine factory in Ashtabula, Ohio, was the fifth ranking mercury emitter in the United States based on 2002 data.

- Nationwide, eight mercury-cell chlorine plants ranked among the top 25 mercury polluters (from all industries) in 2002.23

- The mercury-cell chlorine industry is the nation’s fifth largest mercury polluting industry. 24 Its true rank could be substantially higher, depending on the fate of the “lost” mercury.

**Mercury-Emitting Chlorine Plants in Europe**

Mercury pollution from chlorine plants is not limited to the United States. The chlorine industry is also a major source of mercury emissions in Europe.

There are 53 mercury-cell chlorine plants currently operating in the European Union (EU) – 44 in Western Europe, seven in new (as of 2004) EU member states and two in countries joining in 2007.25

Statistics from 2001 show that:

- In the United Kingdom, a mercury-cell chlorine plant operated by Ineos Chlor is the greatest single source of mercury emissions in the country. Three chlorine plants emit one-third of all the UK’s mercury releases.

**Locations of Mercury-Based Chlorine Factories in the U.S.**

![Map showing locations of mercury-based chlorine factories in the U.S.]

**U.S. Chlorine Factories Release Tons of Mercury (2002)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK BY TOTAL MERCURY RELEASES</th>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>EMISSIONS TO AIR (lbs)</th>
<th>TOTAL EMISSIONS (lbs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TO AIR, WATER, AND OFF-SITE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Olin</td>
<td>Charleston</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>1045 85 1130</td>
<td>2512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Occidental Chemicals</td>
<td>New Castle</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>1046 28 1074</td>
<td>2238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PPG</td>
<td>New Martinsville</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>1045 188 1233</td>
<td>2167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Occidental Chemicals</td>
<td>Muscle Shoals</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1067 20 1087</td>
<td>1771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ASHTA</td>
<td>Ashtabula</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>1046 349 1395</td>
<td>1568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Vulcan</td>
<td>Port Edwards</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>1054 28 1082</td>
<td>1462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PPG</td>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>1045 177 1222</td>
<td>1460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pioneer</td>
<td>St. Gabriel</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>862 48 910</td>
<td>1184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Olin</td>
<td>Augusta</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>585 154 739</td>
<td>1028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Oceana based on EPA Toxics Release Inventory Data
• In Belgium, the top two mercury emitters are mercury-cell chlorine plants.

• In Finland, the only mercury-cell chlorine plant still active ranks second in the nation (of all industries) for total mercury emissions.

• In France, five of the seven active mercury-cell chlorine plants ranks in the nation’s top ten (from all industries) for total mercury emissions.

Mercury contaminates our environment, our communities, and our food

Mercury releases from mercury-cell chlorine plants have both local and distant effects, beginning in the factories themselves. After mercury evaporates from the mercury cells, and before it escapes through cell room vents, much of it must pass through the air in which factory employees work, and which they breathe.

In the early 1990s, Olin Corporation (a U.S. company) settled a lawsuit with contracted workers who had been directed to sever a pipe which, when cut, spilled mercury onto the floor and onto the clothes and skin of the employees. They subsequently became ill, reporting symptoms that included nausea, dizziness, headaches, cramps, joint pain and memory loss. In Riegelwood, North Carolina, at least 71 former HoltraChem employees who worked at the company’s old plant (now a Superfund site) have also filed civil lawsuits, claiming health damages from mercury exposure.

Eventually, mercury released into the air or water travels greater distances, carried by wind and water currents and biological processes. Scientists believe that mercury released to the atmosphere may take anywhere from six days to two years to fall to land or surface water. Some mercury found in rain may be coming from sources as far as 2,500 km (about 1,550 miles) away. Much of it will ultimately make its way to the sea and contribute to the global poisoning of marine wildlife. Every kind of marine creature suffers from mercury contamination, but the most affected are those at the top of the food chain – large fish, marine mammals, and, of course, humans.

Mercury released in wastewater enters local ecosystems even more directly. The pollution can

### Table 2: Top 25 Chlorine Factories that Release Mercury to Air in Europe (2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>TOTAL EMISSIONS (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ineos Chlor LTD</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Degussa AG Werk</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vestolit</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EniChem S.p.A (Syndial S.p.A. – Priolo)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Solvay Electrolyse</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>213.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Atofina</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Solvay Quimica (Torrelavega)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Vintron</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Solvay-Solvic SA</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>177.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tessenderlo Chemie</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>174.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Albion Chemicals</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>173.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>BASF AG</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Aragonesas Industrias y Energia SA</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>162.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Atofina</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Solvay Chimica Italia S.p.A</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Bayer AG</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Atofina</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>144.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Ll Europe</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Bayer AG</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>133.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Albemarle PPC</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Solvay NV</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Vinnolit</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Borsodchem Rt</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Aragonesas Industrias y Energia SA Puerto</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Ercros Industrial</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Oceana with data from the EPER database which has data for the EU-15 countries and Norway and Hungary. Data unavailable for one plant in France, one plant in Italy, two plants in Spain.
build up in the food chain, and can be very harmful to animals and people living in the vicinity. Seven of the nine operating chlorine plants using mercury-cell technology are Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Corrective Action Sites and 14 of the 32 inactive chlorine plants that once used mercury-cell technology are Superfund sites. Both classifications refer to areas identified by the government as dangerously contaminated with hazardous waste.

**The Use of Mercury in Chlorine Manufacturing is Completely Unnecessary**

This 19th century mercury-cell technology is antiquated, unnecessary and dangerous. Two alternative production methods exist: membrane cell and diaphragm cell. Most of the U.S. chlorine industry uses the newer technologies – in fact, 90% of U.S. chlorine is produced using mercury-free processes. In Europe, though sixty percent of the industry still uses mercury-cell technology, the European Commission agreed in 1996 to take action to prevent releases of pollutants like mercury to water, air or land from industrial activities. Through the Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive (IPPC), the Commission required facilities to follow what are called Best Available Techniques (BAT), a concept and term similar to one used in the United States. Because cleaner, more efficient methods of chlorine production are available, the mercury-based process is not considered to be a BAT, and European chlorine facilities are required to phase out the use of mercury by October of 2007.

No new mercury-cell plants have been built since 1970, and new construction is effectively limited by restrictions on mercury emissions from new plants in the United States. In Europe, the industry trade group, Euro Chlor, has agreed not to build any new plants with this outdated technology.

Eliminating mercury technology does not mean that plants must be closed. Many plants around the world have successfully converted from mercury-based to cleaner, newer technologies – a conversion that can lower plants’ energy and labor costs while increasing capacity. According to Euro Chlor, companies typically save 15% on their electric bills and 10% on total energy bills when they convert. Conversion of one Alabama facility also reduced hazardous waste generation by 92%.

**Oceana Solutions**

Oceana is seeking solutions to the global mercury problem. Our goal is to defend the European Union’s mercury phase-out and to win a complete transition to mercury-free technology there and in the United States.

**Recommendations**

**Maintain European phase-out**

- The European Union should require full compliance with the IPPC directive and the 2007 conversion deadline.
- The requirement should stand for all current members of the EU and be a condition of becoming a member.

**Phase out mercury-emitting chlorine production in the United States by 2008**

- EPA should require all nine operating facilities to convert to mercury-free technology, which is the maximum achievable control technology for producing chlorine.
- EPA should require any temporarily closed (idled) plants to shift to mercury-free technology before reopening.
• Facilities still using mercury-emitting technology should be required to monitor fugitive emissions, and conduct tests to identify the status of the lost mercury.

**Ensure the safe disposal of mercury when plants convert or shut down**

• Because mercury is a highly toxic substance, chemical companies and governments should be responsible for the cleanup of the site once a plant has been converted or closed. Surplus mercury and old equipment should be disposed of properly in a hazardous waste facility and not exported to other countries that are not currently subject to a phase-out.

• Companies should properly treat and clean-up mercury-contaminated groundwater, surface water, soils and sediments on the site of the plant.

**Conclusion**

The chlorine industry is a major mercury source that has been almost completely ignored, yet this industry can completely eliminate its mercury pollution. Europe is moving toward an industry-wide transition to mercury-free technology; the U.S. should do the same. By bringing their production processes into the 21st century, chlorine manufacturers can greatly reduce the amount of mercury that is released and carried into our environment, our communities and our food.
Mercury Poses Serious Health Risks to Children and Adults

There is a very good reason one handles thermometers with care. Mercury is a poison. When ingested by humans in sufficient quantities it can cause severe neurological damage. Symptoms can include impaired coordination, memory loss, tingling in the extremities, tremors, blurred vision, headaches, joint pain, fatigue and depression. Research suggests that mercury exposure may increase the victim’s risk of heart disease and kidney damage; mercury exposure is also associated with infertility in both men and women. Finally, methylmercury (the most dangerous form) is considered a possible human carcinogen.

As dangerous as mercury is for adults, it is even more detrimental to children and developing fetuses.

Mercury is a Dangerous Contaminant in Fish

Most toxic substances sold at the grocery store are labeled with appropriate warnings. A person’s weekly dose of mercury, on the other hand, may come in an unmarked fillet of fish or a can of tuna. The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) reports that fish and fish products – both caught and purchased – are the greatest source of methylmercury ingested by humans.

How have some fish become so contaminated? While some mercury occurs naturally in the environment, human industrial activities have significantly increased mercury mobilized and released to the environment. Mercury released into the air as vapor travels and eventually falls to the earth as rain or snow, making its way into lakes, rivers, estuaries, and ultimately to the sea. Some mercury is also released directly into waterways, and more still leaks into the environment through improper or ineffective disposal practices on land. Bacteria that live in these bodies of water transform some of the mercury into its most dangerous form, methylmercury, which unlike the inorganic form accumulates in fish and animal tissue.

This attribute of mercury – the capacity of its organic form to bioaccumulate – is what makes it so dangerous. Most of the land-dwelling creatures
we eat, such as cattle and poultry, are low on the food chain (mostly herbivores), while large fish like tuna and swordfish are predators at the end of a long sequence of predators and prey. They eat smaller fish that eat even smaller fish that eat crustaceans...and so on. Much of the mercury consumed is stored in the tissues of animals that ingest it. When smaller animals get eaten, they pass the mercury in their bodies on to their predators. Thus, the concentration of mercury in animals increases as it travels up the food chain.

As it builds up in marine food chains mercury is finding its way onto our dinner plates, and today contaminated seafood is a global problem. Federal governments are issuing increasing numbers of warnings against eating various species of fish. Several European Union member states have advised mothers and children against eating swordfish, marlin, pike and tuna. In the United States, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and EPA have warned women of childbearing age and children not to eat swordfish, king mackerel, tilefish, or shark and to limit their consumption of albacore tuna. Recreational anglers in 45 of the 50 U.S. states have been warned against eating some of the fish they catch. Moreover, fishermen and their families in coastal areas along the Mediterranean and the Atlantic island of Madeira have very high mercury levels in their bodies based on measurements made of their hair.

Besides presenting human health concerns, mercury also affects the health of fish and other marine life that consume fish, including seals, dolphins, whales and sea lions. As in humans, effects are most pronounced in young fish but levels in adult fish also can be high enough to cause problems.

**Where Does the Mercury Come From?**

Global mercury contamination of seafood is largely the result of human industrial activities. It is estimated that 50 to 75 percent of the mercury now in the environment comes from human sources; the remainder is the product of natural emissions, such as volcanoes. Studies have suggested a two-to four-fold increase in mercury concentrations in air and marine surface waters since the pre-industrial era. The greatest and most publicized source of mercury emissions is combustion: the burning of fossil fuels and waste incineration. In 2002, UNEP estimated that 70 percent of global mercury emissions come from these sources. Other mercury-emitting activities include medical waste incineration, gold mining, and some aspects of offshore oil drilling.

Because of the number of coal-burning plants and their cumulative effect, the attention of the press, the public, and consumer interest groups has focused on this industry as the primary mercury source. One industry, however, has been virtually ignored despite the scale of its mercury problem and despite that fact that its use of mercury is entirely unnecessary: the chlorine industry.
Chlorine is everywhere. It is a critical ingredient used to disinfect swimming pools and drinking water; it is an ingredient in drain cleaner, bleach, plastics, tents, playground balls and a host of other everyday items. In 1894, a process was devised to commercially manufacture chlorine by pumping salty water (brine) through a vat of pure mercury, known as a mercury cell. The mercury cell stimulates a chemical process that converts the brine into chlorine, hydrogen, and caustic soda (used to make detergents and other products). More recently, mercury-free production methods have been developed and adopted by much of the chlorine industry around the world. Antiquated mercury-based facilities remain, however, and continue to release mercury into the air and water.

Nine chlorine plants currently operate in the United States using the outdated mercury cell process. Together, the active chlorine plants pumped 8.5 tons (7.7 metric tons) of mercury into the environment in 2002. In 2000, eleven chlorine plants “lost” more mercury than was released by all 497 mercury-emitting power plants in the United States. Individually, each plant is a polluter worthy of national ranking. Eight of the nine active chlorine factories placed among the top 25 releasers of mercury to air in the country in 2002. One facility, operated by ASHTA Chemicals, in Ashtabula, Ohio, is the fifth-largest single source of mercury air pollution in the country. On average, one of these plants releases about 1097 lbs (499 kg) of mercury into the air and about 13 lbs (~6 kg) directly into water in any given year of operation.

In Europe, there are 53 mercury-based chlorine plants: 44 in Western Europe, seven in the countries that joined the European Union in 2004, and two in the two countries that will join the EU in 2007. Fully one-third of the mercury releases in the United Kingdom comes from mercury-emitting chlorine factories. Europe, however, is in the process of phasing out the use of mercury to make chlorine. There, facilities are required to phase out the use of mercury by October, 2007.

II. MERCURY BASED CHLORINE FACTORIES: AN UNNECESSARY SOURCE OF POISON

### Top 25 Sources of U.S. Mercury Air Emissions (2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>TOTAL AIR EMISSIONS (lbs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jerritt Canyon Joint Venture</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>4741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehigh Southwest Cement Co.</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>2345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone Electric Generating Station</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoa World Alumina LLC Point Comfort</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>1453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASHTA Chemicals Inc.</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>1395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortez Gold Mines Mill 2</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>1356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrick Goldstrike Mines Inc.</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>1356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXU Monticello Steam Electric Station &amp; Lignite Mine</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>1324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Electric Power Conesville Plant</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essroc Cement Corp.</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>1262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliant Energy Keystone Power Plant</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>1235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG Industries Inc.</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>1233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG Industries Inc.</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>1222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey Energy Center</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>1216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olin Corp.</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.A. Parish Electric Generating Station</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occidental Chemical Corp.</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulcan Materials Co. Port Edwards Plant</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>1082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama Power Co. Miller Steam Plant</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occidental Chemical Corp.</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>1074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxy Vynils L.P. – Deer Park</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>1046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Lake Steam Electric Station &amp; Lignite Mine</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>1027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Electric Power H.W. Pirkey Power Plant</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Power Scherer Steam Electric Generating...</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer Americas LLC</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Oceana based on EPA Toxics Release Inventory Data

### Most Mercury Releases are “Fugitive”

Mercury-cell chlorine plants vary in size, and production capacity. On average a plant in Europe is smaller than one in the United States. For example, the U.S. plant operated by Olin in Augusta,
Georgia, contains 60 mercury cells, each of which measures 48 feet in length and five in depth (~15 by 1.5 meters). These mercury cells are essentially large vats of mercury which can hold approximately 186 tons (~169 metric tons) total. Such enormous quantities of mercury make for enormous quantities of mercury gas released.

Mercury leaves chlorine plants in several ways. Some mercury separates as vapor during the electrolysis process and is routed through factory smokestacks, which are equipped with monitoring devices to track mercury emissions. The industry reports these as mercury “stack emissions” – which average about 120 lbs per year (~55 kg) per plant.

Mercury is also discharged directly into surface water. In 2002, such waste totaled 107 lbs (~49 kg), a per-plant average of 12 lbs (5.4 kg). Still more mercury is disposed of off-site or in hazardous waste disposal facilities. Because the industry is required to report to the EPA all of its releases to air, water, and land, including off-site disposal, these figures come directly from the companies.

Most of the mercury released from chlorine plants, however, does not exit through monitored smokestacks, discharge pipes or off-site disposal operations, but rather escapes as what are called “fugitive emissions.” Because mercury is a volatile element, and because the cell room operates at high temperatures, mercury can evaporate both during normal operations and when the vats are opened for maintenance.

Mercury that evaporates from vats is released through the factories’ ventilation systems. Plant management rarely monitors these fugitive emissions – the companies are simply allowed, under United States regulations, to estimate their amount at 1.3 kg/plant/day (about 2.9 lbs/plant/day). In 2002, fugitive emissions averaged about 977 lbs of mercury (444 kg) per plant per year – or approximately 4.4 tons total per year (~4 metric tons) in the United States.

Overall, the industry reports unmonitored fugitive emissions (most of which must pass through areas where people work) to be about nine times higher than the total monitored mercury stack emissions reported to the EPA by these facilities. It should be kept in mind that this is an estimate, since fugitive emissions are not monitored.

But when it is all added up, the amount of mercury these plants bring in does not equal what they report sent out, which may explain why many of these plants have left legacies of toxic contamination in their communities.

Tons More Poison Lost Every Year

In addition to their reported mercury releases, mercury-cell chlorine plants “lose” mercury. Quite simply, the plants consume more mercury than they report releasing. So far, neither the mercury-using
chlorine factories nor the government agencies that regulate them have been able to account for the difference or adequately explain the problem. Mercury does not get used up in the process of making chlorine. Only small amounts of it end up as impurities in the product. Since mercury is an element, it does not break down into other substances. It has to go somewhere. The lost mercury must be in the air, in the water, on the land, or in the facility itself.

In 2000, in the United States alone, the industry lost 65 tons (59 metric tons) of mercury, far more than the entire combined mercury releases of all 497 mercury-emitting power plants in the country (about 49 tons / 44 metric tons). If even half of those 65 tons made their way into the environment, then the small group of mercury-based chlorine plants would rival coal burning power plants as the number one source of mercury contamination in the U.S.

In 2000, the chlorine plants in the first fifteen member states of the European Union (EU-15) reported that they used 104.5 tons (95 metric tons) of mercury. For the same year, releases totaled 4.5 tons (4 metric tons) of mercury to the air, and the industry reported that 8.8 tons (8 metric tons) of mercury were released to the environment. Ninety-six tons (87 metric tons) of mercury were unaccounted for. This is almost three times the amount of mercury released to the air by all coal-fired power plants and residential heating in the EU-15 that year which totaled approximately 33 tons (30 metric tons).

The amount of mercury lost varies from year to year because it is counted in the year that replacement mercury is bought by the factory. It is also dependent on the number of facilities operating in a given year. While no data are available for 2001, the industry reported losing 28 tons (25 metric tons) in 2002 and 30 tons (27 metric tons) in 2003. (See Table 4)

Companies Can Eliminate Mercury Releases

The good news is that this mercury pollution is entirely preventable. Mercury-cell technology is antiquated, unnecessary and dangerous. Two alternative production methods exist: membrane-cell and diaphragm-cell. Today, 90 percent of chlorine made in the United States is produced using these mercury-free technologies. No new mercury-cell plants have been built since 1970, and new construction is effectively limited by restrictions on mercury emissions by new plants. In Europe, though 60 percent of the industry uses mercury-cell technology, the industry has been directed to phase out the use of mercury by 2007. In addition, the industry trade group in Europe, Euro Chlor has agreed not to build any new plants that use a mercury-based process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lost (tons)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>EPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Data unavailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Chlorine Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Chlorine Institute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

Europe Sees the Light Before the United States

Mercury-emitting chlorine plants are considered obsolete in Europe. European governments have recognized that mercury is harmful to public health, and should be reduced, and that the use of mercury in chlorine production should be phased out.

The European Commission agreed in 1996 to take action to prevent releases of pollutants like mercury to water, air or land from industrial activities. The Commission required facilities to follow what are called Best Available Techniques (BAT), a concept and term similar to one used in the United States. In Europe, due to the availability of cleaner, more efficient technology, the mercury-emitting chlorine production process is not considered to be BAT. Therefore, facilities are required to phase out the use of mercury by October, 2007. Euro Chlor, however, is promoting an alternative timeframe that would result in 13 additional years of mercury releases to the environment. Some countries also have committed to phase-out mercury use, although according to a slower schedule than required by the Directive.

In the United States, the Clean Air Act has a similar requirement for BAT, called Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT). The EPA has side-stepped that requirement by claiming that mercury-
emitting chlorine facilities are a different category than their mercury-free counterparts. So instead of designating mercury-free technology as MACT, as was done in Europe, the U.S. plants will only have to meet the maximum achievable mercury-emitting control technology. This will allow the facilities to continue to operate as they are now, releasing and losing tons of mercury each year. All of the releases to the environment could be eliminated simply by acknowledging that the MACT is mercury-free, and shifting to that technology as is required in Europe.

Conversion is Both Possible and Practical

Conversion from mercury-based to mercury-free technology is both possible and practical, as demonstrated by the European commitment and examples of chlorine plants that have already made the switch. In fact, evidence suggests that by converting from mercury-cell to membrane technology, chlorine plants can lower energy and labor costs while increasing capacity. This results in reduced operating expenses.

According to Euro Chlor, companies typically save 15% of their electrical energy costs and 10% of their total energy costs as a result of conversion.

Many examples of conversions already exist. Occidental Chemical's Mobile, Alabama plant converted from mercury-based chlorine production to mercury-free membrane technology in 1991. Besides eliminating mercury emissions, the project reduced the amount of hazardous wastes generated by 92%, from 38 tons per year to three tons per year.

The conversion project also saved the company approximately $51,000 annually and reduced its consumption of natural gas.

The cost of conversion for an individual plant may vary according to factors that include the plant’s current infrastructure, size and location of the facility. For this reason, cost estimates vary widely. In 1995, the EPA estimated costs between $100,000 and $200,000 per ton of chlorine produced per day. For a facility that produces about 65,000 tons per year, the cost would range from $20 to $40 million. Similarly, in 2001, Euro Chlor predicted conversion will cost roughly 530 Euros per metric ton of annual chlorine capacity, though many plants already have converted for less.

Mercury-based chlorine production is a dangerous relic of the past. Newer, cleaner technology exists. Both chemical companies and the public ultimately benefit from the conversion of mercury-based plants to membrane technology.
How is Chlorine Made?

The chemical transaction that converts salt and water into chlorine, hydrogen and caustic soda is known as electrolysis – a process in which an electrical charge is used to pull ions out of their initial bonds and allow them to recombine in new ways. To produce chlorine, sodium chloride (table salt) is dissolved in water. The salty solution, or brine, is pumped into an electrolytic cell, where the ions that make up the salt and water molecules become charged, are pulled apart, and then cluster to form new molecules.

There are three methods of inducing this chemical reaction, each relying on a different type of cell: the mercury cell, the membrane cell, and the diaphragm cell. Apart from the fact that the two more modern technologies are mercury-free, the three processes are nearly identical.

The Mercury Cell

In mercury-cell technology, mercury is the agent that pulls the charged ions in the salt and water molecules out of their original bonds. Saltwater (brine) is pumped into a massive vat of liquid mercury. The mercury serves as the negative electrode (cathode) and lures positively-charged sodium (Na) ions out of their chloride bonds. The sodium ions latch on to mercury ions to form NaHg, abandoning the chloride ions to their new independence, to eventually become chlorine gas.

Later in the process, the sodium (Na) and mercury (Hg) ions split once again, the sodium now joining with hydroxide (OH) ions liberated from water molecules. The final products of the process are sodium hydroxide (caustic soda), hydrogen and chlorine gas. The elemental mercury is theoretically recycled to the electrolysis cell. Unfortunately, a good deal of mercury evaporates over the course of this process, and is released from the chlorine plant in monitored or fugitive emissions.
Mercury-Emitting Chlorine Plants Release Tons of Mercury Each Year

THE MERCURY “ENIGMA”

In 2000, the EPA acknowledged that the eleven operating mercury-based chlorine plants had “lost” 65 tons (56 metric tons) of mercury – an amount far greater than the 49 tons (44 metric tons) emitted by the nation’s entire coal-burning industry. Collectively, the chlorine plants used 79 tons (71 metric tons) of mercury and reported 14 tons (13 metric tons) released as waste. But what happened to the remaining 65 tons (56 metric tons)? The most plausible explanation was that the remaining mercury had evaporated and escaped through vents in the cell room as fugitive emissions. The industry responded that the missing material had seeped into plant equipment, such as pipes and tanks. Neither claim could be proven, and the EPA declared that “the fate of all the mercury consumed at mercury cell chlor-alkali plants remains somewhat of an enigma.”

Nine mercury-cell chlorine plants still operate in the United States. They are located in eight states: Alabama, Delaware, Georgia, Louisiana, Ohio, Tennessee, West Virginia and Wisconsin. Each of these facilities is a major mercury emitter. Of the nine plants, in 2002, the Olin Corporation’s plant in Charleston, Tennessee ranked first in total reported mercury releases to the environment (2512 lbs / 1141 kg), followed closely by the Occidental Chemical Company (OxyChem) facility in Delaware, which itself reported releasing more than a ton (2238 lbs / 1017 kg). The PPG plant in New Martinsville, West Virginia, ranked third with emissions totaling 2167 lbs (985 kg).

On average, one of these plants releases about 1097 lbs (499 kg) of mercury into the air in any given year of operation. The average large U.S. power plant, in contrast, released 586 lbs (266 kg) of mercury to the air in 2002 (average taken from top 100 mercury-emitting power plants). Put more simply, on average a mercury-cell chlorine plant in the U.S. releases approximately twice as much mercury as a large mercury-emitting U.S. power plant.

U.S. Chlorine Factories Release Tons of Mercury (2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK BY TOTAL MERCURY RELEASES</th>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>EMISIONS TO AIR (lbs)</th>
<th>TOTAL EMISSIONS (lbs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fugitive</td>
<td>stack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Olin</td>
<td>Charleston</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Occidental Chemicals</td>
<td>New Castle</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PPG</td>
<td>New Martinsville</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Occidental Chemicals</td>
<td>Muscle Shoals</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1067</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ASHTA</td>
<td>Ashtabula</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Vulcan</td>
<td>Port Edwards</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PPG</td>
<td>Lake Charles</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pioneer</td>
<td>St. Gabriel</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Olin</td>
<td>Augusta</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Source: Oceana based on EPA Toxics Release Inventory Data
As previously stated, these emissions are company-reported figures compiled by the EPA. Given the tens of thousands of pounds of mercury that chlorine plants have lost or for which they have failed to account, the self-reported figures are unlikely to be accurate. With both reported releases and "lost" mercury taken into consideration, the nine mercury-based chlorine plants in the U.S. may rival the entire power industry as the nation’s largest industrial mercury polluter. (See Box 2)

Mercury-Emitting Chlorine Plants Rank First in Mercury Releases in Seven States

In 2002, in seven of the eight states where they were operating, mercury-emitting chlorine plants released more mercury to the air than any other source in that state. In Georgia, the eighth state, the mercury-based chlorine plant ranked second. There are two other states with mercury-cell chlorine plants that have recently shut down or converted – one in Texas, which was idle in 2002 and cannot be considered, and a Westlake Vinyls plant that was the largest single source of mercury air emissions in Kentucky in 2001, prior to its conversion.\(^{113}\)

In two states, Louisiana and Delaware, the chlorine plants not only ranked first, but released more mercury than all other sources combined.\(^{114}\) In Delaware, a chlorine facility operated by OxyChem accounted for more than 70 percent of mercury releases to the air, and 75 percent of the total mercury released (to air, water and off-site disposal).\(^{115}\) In Louisiana, two chlorine plants combined accounted for more than 50 percent of all air emissions.\(^{116}\) In Kentucky, before it closed, Westlake Vinyls emitted more than 20 percent of mercury released to air and about 68 percent of total mercury released in that state.

Appendix I provides an analysis of each of these facilities and mercury pollution problems in each state.

Regulations Should be Strengthened

The regulations governing mercury emissions from chlorine plants urgently need to be strengthened. Because the plants release mercury into the air, they are subject to the Federal Clean Air Act and comparable state and local laws.\(^{117}\) In December 2003, the EPA weakened the rules that apply to mercury-cell chlorine plants.

First, the agency essentially exempted mercury-cell chlorine plants from Clean Air Act standards that apply to mercury-free chlorine plants. Under the Clean Air Act, the agency requires facilities that emit hazardous pollutants such as mercury to use Maximum
Acheivable Control Technology to limit air pollution emissions – in this case, mercury-free technology. But rather than requiring the antiquated plants to meet the emissions standards of the majority of the industry by phasing out the mercury-based technology, the EPA established a loophole by creating a separate sub-category – and a separate set of emissions regulations – just for these nine mercury-emitting plants. As a result, the regulations would effectively sanction the continued use of the hundred-year-old, mercury-polluting process, rather than requiring these plants to move into the 21st century.

Second, as previously mentioned, U.S. regulations allow the estimation of fugitive emissions. When revising the rules for mercury-cell chlorine plants, the EPA had the chance to require monitoring of these emissions, which has been shown feasible. Instead, the new regulations require only a set of maintenance activities or “work practices” designed to minimize these emissions, without requirements for monitoring to see if they are actually minimizing pollution.

The Natural Resources Defense Council and the Sierra Club have challenged the rule in court. Their lawsuit has been stayed pending EPA’s reconsideration of the new regulation.

Mercury-Cell Plants are Hazardous Waste Sites

While mercury-cell plants contribute to the global mercury problem, they also have local consequences. In addition to their allowable mercury emissions (and unknown “losses”), some of the companies still operating mercury-cell chlorine plants in the United States have violated the Clean Air and Clean Water...
Acts – both for the illegal disposal of mercury and for other illegal pollution. Nearly all of the companies still operating mercury-cell plants have incurred substantial fines and clean-up costs for past pollution. The vast majority of sites of past and current plants are now listed as Superfund or Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Corrective Action Sites.\textsuperscript{121}

Seven of the nine operating chlorine plants using mercury-cell technology are RCRA Corrective Action Sites; an additional one is a proposed Corrective Action Site.\textsuperscript{122} RCRA regulates the generation, transportation, handling, storage, and disposal of hazardous wastes from “cradle to grave” in the United States. When hazardous wastes have been released into the environment, the EPA or a state government can require an owner or operator of a site to investigate and clean up the wastes.\textsuperscript{123}

Fourteen of the 32 inactive chlorine plants that once used mercury-cell technology are Superfund sites.\textsuperscript{124} The Superfund program, enacted in the Comprehensive Environmental Responsibility, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, authorizes the EPA to require owners, operators and other responsible parties to pay for the clean up of abandoned hazardous waste sites. The most serious hazardous waste sites are placed on the National Priorities List (NPL) for possible long-term cleanup.

Mercury Contaminates Our Environment, Our Communities and Our Food

Mercury contamination from chlorine plants has cascading effects, beginning in the factories themselves. After mercury evaporates from the mercury cells, and before it escapes through cell room vents, much of it passes through the air in which factory employees work, and which they breathe.

In the 1990’s, Olin Corporation settled a lawsuit with contracted workers who had been directed to sever a pipe which, when cut, spilled mercury onto the floor and onto the clothes and skin of the employees. They subsequently became ill, reporting symptoms including nausea, dizziness, headaches, cramps, joint pain and memory loss.\textsuperscript{133} In Riegelwood, North Carolina, at least 71 former HoltraChem employees who worked at the company’s old plant (now a Superfund site) have also filed civil lawsuits, claiming health damages from mercury exposure.\textsuperscript{134}

GOVERNMENTS IN THE U.S. AND EUROPE HAVE WARNED WOMEN AND CHILDREN AGAINST EATING PARTICULAR FISH SPECIES INCLUDING SWORDFISH, SHARK, TILEFISH, KING MACKEREL, MARLIN, PIKE AND TUNA.

The risks of mercury exposure extend to local communities. Mercury released in wastewater enters local ecosystems even more directly. The pollution can build up in the food chain, and can be very harmful to animals and people living in the vicinity. In 2003, 45 states issued fish consumption advisories (2,300 in total) as a result of local mercury contamination.\textsuperscript{135} Twenty-one states warned citizens against eating fish from any lakes and/or rivers in their states.\textsuperscript{136} In December 2004, West Virginia issued a statewide advisory, becoming the 22nd state to do so.\textsuperscript{137}

Equally disturbing is the advisory issued by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), which is responsible for advising the public about contaminants in commercial seafood. The FDA, in conjunction with the EPA, has warned women and children not to consume four commercially available types of fish: swordfish, tilefish, shark and king mackerel, and to limit consumption of albacore tuna to six ounces each week.\textsuperscript{138}

These fish are contaminated with mercury from a variety of sources, not just chlorine factories. As this report shows, however, the nine mercury-based chlorine facilities in the United States are major contributors to national mercury emissions, and the unnecessary pollution they produce ultimately adds to the contamination of fish around the world.
Mercury-Emitting Chlorine Production
Still a Problem in Europe

There are 53 mercury-emitting chlorine plants in Europe (44 in Western Europe, seven in the EU 2004 accession countries, and two in the EU 2007 accession countries).\(^{141}\) They are located in Belgium, the Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Slovenia, the Slovak Republic, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.\(^{142}\)

In the last 15 years at least 34 sites in the Netherlands, Germany, United Kingdom, Finland, France, Sweden, Norway, Italy, Portugal, Belgium, Spain, Austria and Denmark have shut down either all or part of their mercury-based production processes, with some of these plants converting to membrane technology.\(^{143}\)

Emissions from Mercury-Cell Chlorine Plants
(Europe 2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>TOTAL EMISSIONS (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ineos Chlor LTD</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Degussa AG Werk</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vestolit</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Enichem S.p.A (Syndial S.p.A. – Priolo)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Solvay Electrolyte</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>213.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Atofina</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Solvay Quimica (Torrelavega)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Vintron</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Solvay-Solvic SA</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>177.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tessenderlo Chemie</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>174.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Albion Chemicals</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>173.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>BASF AG</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Aragonesas Industrias y Energia SA</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>162.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Atofina</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Solvay Chimica Italia S.p.A</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Bayer AG</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Atofina</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>144.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>LII Europe</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Bayer AG</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>133.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Albemarle PPC</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Solvay NV</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Vinnolit</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Borsodchem Rt</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Oceana with data from the EPER database which has data for the EU-15 countries and Norway and Hungary. Data unavailable for one plant in France, one plant in Italy, two plants in Spain.
Lost Mercury in Europe…and Steps to Solve It

As in the United States, not all mercury is recycled within the chlorine production process. Some of the mercury is lost to air, water, wastes and products, while some accumulates in equipment.

In 2000, the chlorine plants in the first fifteen member states of the EU-15 reported that they used 104.5 tons (95 metric tons) of mercury. That same year, the industry reported that 8.8 tons (8 metric tons) of mercury were released to the environment. Ninety-six tons (87 metric tons) of mercury were unaccounted for. This is almost three times the amount of mercury released to the air by all coal-fired power plants and residential heating in the EU that year, which totaled about 33 tons (30 metric tons).

Regulation (Mercury Phase-Out Required in Europe)

The European Commission agreed in 1996 to take action to prevent releases of pollutants like mercury to water, air or land from industrial activities. As discussed previously, through the Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive (IPPC), the Commission requires facilities to follow BAT, a concept and term similar to one used in the United States. In Europe, due to the availability of cleaner, more efficient technology, the mercury-emitting chlorine production process is not considered to be BAT. Therefore, facilities are required to phase out the use of mercury by October, 2007.

Euro Chlor, however, is promoting an alternative timeframe that would result in 13 additional years of mercury releases to the environment. Some countries also have committed to phase-out mercury use, but according to a slower schedule than what is required by the Directive. In addition, members of the Paris Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution From Land-Based Sources (PARCOM) have also agreed that mercury-cell chlorine plants should be phased out completely but have set a target date of 2010.

Sweden, Belgium, Poland, the Netherlands and Finland all have timetables set to meet the 2010 deadline, while other countries have already met the deadline. In Portugal, the only mercury-based plant already had converted to membrane cells in 2002.

It is anticipated that mercury being removed from European facilities that are subject to phase-outs may flow to countries with weaker, or no phase-out requirements. The European chlorine industry has agreed to return used mercury to the mining company Minas de Almaden. This mercury will then be resold, and will replace raw mercury that would otherwise be mined.

Chlorine Production Second only to Power as Main Mercury Source

Overall, in 1995, the mercury cell chlorine process was the number two source of European mercury emissions (18%), behind the combustion of coal in power plants and residential heat furnaces which together generated 31% of the emissions from the EU-15.

In 2000, power plants over 50 megawatts in the EU-15 released nearly 20 tons (18 metric tons) of mercury to air, compared to the 4.5 tons (4.1 metric tons) released by chlorine factories to the air in those same countries. Since the mercury-based chlorine plants lost almost three times the total releases by all coal-fired power plants and residential heating in the EU that year, it is difficult to determine whether coal combustion or chlorine production is the number one source of mercury releases.

Mercury IN THE RIVER

Mercury “has been seeping out into the River Elbe for decades – in Stalinist times as much as 2000 kg (4400 lbs) each year. The river winds northwards into the sea at Hamburg in Germany, where the sludge in the harbor became so toxic that it had to be dredged.” Much of the mercury comes from factories, including the SPOLchemie chlorine plant in Ústí nad Labem, Czech Republic. This plant produces chlorine and many other chemicals. It is now undergoing renovations to comply with European Union production standards.
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Mercury “has been seeping out into the River Elbe for decades – in Stalinist times as much as 2000 kg (4400 lbs) each year. The river winds northwards into the sea at Hamburg in Germany, where the sludge in the harbor became so toxic that it had to be dredged.” Much of the mercury comes from factories, including the SPOLchemie chlorine plant in Ústí nad Labem, Czech Republic. This plant produces chlorine and many other chemicals. It is now undergoing renovations to comply with European Union production standards.
In addition to conversion, PARCOM included requirements to reduce the amount of pollution that a plant is allowed to release to the environment.\textsuperscript{154} Because of this and closures and conversions, the European mercury releases have been reduced considerably from 1977 levels.\textsuperscript{155}

Despite this reduction, chlorine plants are still producing thousands of kilograms of poisonous mercury overall. This pollution is completely avoidable, yet the legacy of pollution continues.

- In Belgium, three of the top ten sources of mercury pollution were chlorine factories, accounting for 20\% of total mercury releases at 1023 lbs (465 kg).
- In the United Kingdom, two of the three mercury-cell chlorine factories ranked number one and number three for mercury emissions. The three mercury-based plants alone accounted for over one-third of the mercury pollution in the entire country at 2714 lbs (1234 kg).\textsuperscript{156}
- In France, chlorine plants account for 23\% of the mercury air emissions, 38\% of the mercury emissions to water and almost 25\% of mercury emissions overall.\textsuperscript{157}

Appendix II provides an analysis of many of these facilities and mercury pollution problems in eleven European countries.

**Effects: Local and Distant Contamination**

Mercury releases from mercury-cell chlorine plants have both local and distant effects. Mercury released in wastewater enters local ecosystems even more directly. The pollution can build up in the food chain, and can be very harmful to animals and people living in the vicinity – as well as long distances from the plant.

Studies in Europe show that mercury from chlorine plants can contribute over 60\% of the industrial mercury in a given area. In some countries such as Ukraine, Belgium, and the United Kingdom, the (average) contribution from the chlorine industry in some areas exceeds ten percent of the total mercury deposited for the whole country.\textsuperscript{158}

Mercury released into the air or water can also travel great distances, carried by wind and water currents and biological processes. Scientists believe that mercury released to the atmosphere may take anywhere from six days to two years to fall to land. Some mercury found in rain may be coming from sources as far as 2,500 km (about 1,550 miles) away.\textsuperscript{159} It is estimated that 80\% of the mercury deposited in southern Sweden originates from other countries.\textsuperscript{160} Even countries like Denmark, Norway and Estonia that have no mercury-cell chlorine plants receive mercury pollution from chlorine plants in other countries.\textsuperscript{161}

As in the United States, some mercury-cell chlorine factories in the EU are responsible for mercury contamination of land and waterways on site. In many places, this contamination is a major environmental problem.\textsuperscript{162} In Sweden, two sites had high contamination, both on land, and in sediment in the sea or lakes nearby. In both cases, clean-up of the site was needed.\textsuperscript{163} In Germany, two chlorine factory sites were cleaned up in Bitterfeld, and Sow-Leuna. Mercury-contaminated soil, building material and steel were removed at both sites, 65,000 metric tons (71,500 tons) and 230,000 metric tons (253,000 tons) respectively.\textsuperscript{164}
Oceana is seeking solutions to the global mercury problem. Our goal is to defend the European Union’s mercury phase-out and to win a complete transition to mercury-free technology there and in the United States.

**Recommendations**

**Maintain European phase-out**
- The European Union should require full compliance with the IPPC directive and the 2007 conversion deadline.
- The requirement should stand for all current members of the EU and be a condition of becoming a member.

**Phase out mercury-emitting chlorine production in the United States by 2008**
- EPA should require all nine operating facilities to convert to mercury-free technology, which is the maximum achievable control technology for producing chlorine.
- EPA should require any temporarily closed (idled) plants to shift to mercury-free technology before reopening.
- Facilities still using mercury-emitting technology should be required to monitor fugitive emissions, and conduct tests to identify the status of the lost mercury.

**Ensure the safe disposal of mercury when plants convert or shut down**
- Because mercury is a highly toxic substance, chemical companies and governments should be responsible for the cleanup of the site once a plant has been converted or closed. Surplus mercury and old equipment should be disposed of properly in a hazardous waste facility and not exported to other countries that are not currently subject to a phase-out.
- Companies should properly treat and clean up mercury-contaminated groundwater, surface water, soils and sediments on the site of the plant.

**Conclusion**

The chlorine industry is a major mercury source that has been almost completely ignored, yet this industry can completely eliminate its mercury pollution. Europe is moving toward an industry-wide transition to mercury-free technology; the U.S. should do the same. By bringing their production processes into the 21st century, chlorine manufacturers can greatly reduce the amount of mercury that is released and carried into our environment, our communities and our food.
Today there are mercury-cell chlorine plants operating in eight states: Alabama, Delaware, Georgia, Louisiana, Ohio, Tennessee, West Virginia and Wisconsin. In seven of the eight states where mercury-cell chlorine plants are currently operating, they are the #1 source of mercury pollution in the states based on 2002 data.166

In 2003, 45 states issued fish consumption advisories as a result of local mercury contamination.166 This resulted in 2,300 advisories across the country that year due to mercury contamination in recreationally caught fish. Twenty-one states warned citizens against eating fish from any lakes and/or rivers in the state.167 In 2004, West Virginia issued a statewide advisory as well.168
The only mercury-cell chlorine plant still operating in Alabama, Occidental Chemical Corporation’s (OxyChem) facility in Muscle Shoals, is the largest single source of mercury pollution in the state.\textsuperscript{169}

Alabama has been plagued with mercury problems. Some of the state’s residents have been shown to have much higher levels of mercury in their blood than normal.\textsuperscript{170} While some of Alabama’s mercury has a distant origin, much of it may have come from chlorine factories in the state.

Alabama was once the site of at least five mercury-cell chlorine plants.\textsuperscript{171} Today only one remains in operation. The OxyChem plant is located in Muscle Shoals, in Northwest Alabama, near Pond Creek and the Tennessee River.\textsuperscript{172}

**Key statistics for OxyChem’s Muscle Shoals plant (in 2002)\textsuperscript{173}**

- #1 source of mercury released to the air in Alabama
- #4 source of total mercury pollution in Alabama
- Responsible for 18% of mercury released to the air in Alabama
- #17 source of mercury released to the air in the United States
- #38 source of total mercury pollution in the United States
- RCRA Corrective Action Site\textsuperscript{174}

In 2002, this plant released 1087 lbs (494 kg) of mercury to the air and 9.6 lbs (4.4 kg) into water and disposed of 664 lbs (302 kg) off site (primarily in landfills). Of the air emissions, 1067 lbs (485 kg) of the mercury came from fugitive emissions while only 20 lbs (9 kg) were measured and released as stack emissions.\textsuperscript{175}

![Top Ten Sources of Mercury Air Emissions (Alabama 2002)](image)

**Source:** Oceana based on EPA Toxics Release Inventory Data
Former Plants, A Legacy of Pollution

Most of the chlorine plants that once operated within Alabama’s borders are gone, but the mercury is not. The Mobile area was once a chlorine industry hub, home to plants owned by the Olin Corporation, Stauffer Chemicals, and OxyChem, among others. The sites of these former plants are now Superfund and/or RCRA sites – areas identified by the government as contaminated with hazardous wastes that pose a threat to human health and the environment.

One of the mercury-contaminated Superfund sites is at the location of Stauffer Chemical’s former plant at Cold Creek Swamp, in the Mobile-Tensaw Delta region, a few miles above the town of Axis.

Another is the site of the former Olin plant, which operated using mercury-cell technology from 1952 to 1982, in the Olin basin, on the edge of the delta near the Mobile County – Washington County line. Olin continues to operate a chlorine plant at this site using diaphragm cell technology. Tests show that past releases of mercury and organic chemicals have contaminated the shallow groundwater beneath the former plant site, and that nearby wetlands along the Tombigbee River are contaminated from past wastewater discharges as well.

As part of a 2003 fish monitoring survey, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) tested fish in the Olin Basin, even though this private waterbody is not accessible to the public. Mercury concentrations were above the FDA guidance level in bass, blue catfish, and black crappie.

The last of Alabama’s former mercury-cell facilities in Mobile is OxyChem, and it is a RCRA Corrective Action Site. This plant was converted to membrane technology in 1991, reducing hazardous wastes generated from 38 tons per year to three tons per year.

Mercury-Related Fish Advisories

In Alabama, there are two types of advisories issued by the Department of Public Health:

- A **limited consumption advisory** states that women of reproductive age and children less than 15 years of age should avoid eating certain types of fish from specific waterbodies. Other people should limit consumption to one meal per month.
- A **no consumption advisory** recommends that everyone should avoid eating certain species of fish in the defined area.

There were 26 advisories for mercury, including no-consumption advisories for certain species (in most cases, largemouth bass) in 19 bodies of water. One additional reservoir had a limited-consumption advisory.

A no-consumption advisory for all species was issued for Cold Creek Swamp, located very close to the site of a former mercury-cell chlorine plant.

The Department of Public Health also issued a statewide advisory for king mackerel caught anywhere on the Gulf Coast: citizens were warned not to eat king mackerel longer than 39 inches, and to consume limited amounts of smaller fish.
The only mercury-cell chlorine plant still operating in Delaware is the largest single source of mercury pollution in the state.

The Occidental Chemical Corporation (OxyChem) plant is located in Delaware City, near Red Lion Creek and the Delaware River.¹⁸⁸

**Key statistics for OxyChem’s Delaware City plant (in 2002)**¹⁸⁹

- #1 source of mercury released to the air in Delaware
- #1 source of total mercury pollution in Delaware
- Responsible for 71% of mercury air emissions in Delaware
- Responsible for 75% of total mercury releases in Delaware
- #20 source of mercury released to the air in the United States
- #28 source of total mercury pollution in the United States
- RCRA hazardous waste Corrective Action Site¹⁹⁰

In 2002, this plant released 1074 lbs (488 kg) of mercury to the air and 20.8 lbs (9.5 kg) into the water and disposed of 1144 lbs (520 kg) off-site (primarily in landfills). Of the air emissions, 1046 lbs (475 kg) of the mercury came from fugitive emissions while 28 lbs (13 kg) were measured and released as stack emissions.¹⁹¹

This plant was partially idled in 2003 which will result in a decrease in emissions in that year.¹⁹²

---

*Source: Oceana based on EPA Toxics Release Inventory Data*
Mercury Contamination

This OxyChem plant has released enough mercury into its surrounding environment to qualify as a RCRA Corrective Action Site. In 1991, the EPA directed the plant to investigate and treat contaminated soils, sediments, surface water or groundwater that may have been affected by hazardous waste releases. OxyChem removed and treated mercury-contaminated soil and was required to construct a barrier, to prevent mercury from leaching into groundwater, and to begin a waste monitoring system in 2004.

2004 Mercury-Related Fish Advisories

The state has issued four fish advisories for mercury: one for two lakes, one for the length of the Delaware River and one for the Delaware Bay. In fact the Delaware River and Bay are so contaminated that in March of 2004, Delaware and New Jersey jointly issued a fish consumption advisory for both waterways. Citizens were warned not to eat fish caught in the Delaware Estuary north of the Chesapeake, or in the Delaware Canal up to the Pennsylvania border; and not to eat large bluefish caught south of the canal. Children and women of child-bearing age were warned not to eat any striped bass, bluefish, white perch, American eel, channel catfish or white catfish caught in the estuary below the Pennsylvania border.

Two other advisories issued by the state of Delaware warned against eating finfish from Becks Pond more than once a year, and finfish from Silver Lake in Dover more than twice a year.
The only mercury-cell chlorine plant still operating in Georgia is the second largest single source of mercury pollution in the state.\textsuperscript{196}

The Olin Chemicals plant is located in Augusta, Georgia, near the Savannah River.\textsuperscript{197}

**Key statistics for Olin’s Augusta plant (in 2002)\textsuperscript{198}**

- #2 source of mercury released to the air in Georgia
- #2 source of total mercury pollution in Georgia
- Responsible for 17\% of mercury released to air in Georgia
- #39 source of mercury released to the air in the United States
- #69 source of total mercury pollution in the United States
- RCRA hazardous waste Corrective Action Site\textsuperscript{199}

In 2002 this plant released 739 lbs (336 kg) of mercury to the air and 6.7 lbs (3.0 kg) into the water and disposed of 282 lbs (128 kg) off-site (primarily to landfills). Of the air emissions, 585 lbs (266 kg) of the mercury came from fugitive emissions while only 154 lbs (70 kg) were measured and released as stack emissions.\textsuperscript{200}

![TOP TEN SOURCES OF MERCURY AIR EMISSIONS (GEORGIA 2002)](chart.png)

*Facility Source: Oceana based on EPA Toxics Release Inventory Data*
Mercury Contamination

This Olin plant has leaked and released enough mercury into its surrounding environment to qualify as a RCRA Corrective Action Site. Throughout 2003 and 2004, the plant failed to monitor waste releases to groundwater as required by its RCRA permit.

Additionally, LCP, a division of Hanlin Group (Allied) operated a mercury-cell plant in Brunswick, GA from 1957 to 1994. The Brunswick site, the majority of which is tidal marsh, is a Superfund site. EPA estimates that more than 380,000 pounds (over 170,000 kg) of mercury were “lost” in the area during the period of operation of the plant. Mercury and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) have been detected in aquatic life at levels sufficient to produce a ban on commercial fishing in these areas and a seafood consumption advisory for part of the river and all of the creek.

2004 Mercury-Related Fish Advisories

Georgia issued 178 fish consumption advisories – relating to 40 different rivers and 34 lakes and ponds.

Near the Olin plant, in the Savannah River Basin, there were 24 advisories, affecting five rivers and seven lakes and ponds.

In the Purvis Creek area near the Brunswick Superfund site, Georgia currently recommends eating no more than one meal per week of red drum, and one meal per month of blue crab, spotted seatrout, Southern kingfish (whiting), and sheepshead, due to mercury contamination. These recommendations are based on data collected in 2002, three years after EPA excavated the vast majority of on-site soil and waste piles. The previous year’s (2003) consumption guidelines based on ecological data collected in 1995 were more restrictive (for example, it was not safe to eat red drum), which indicates that mercury levels may have decreased following the cleanup. The state also has issued mercury warnings for the whole estuary (St. Simon’s Estuary).

Georgia, Florida and South Carolina jointly issued an advisory recommending that no one eat king mackerel more than 39 inches long (15 –17 lbs.), and that pregnant women, nursing mothers and children restrict their consumption of smaller fish (33 – 39 inches) to one meal per month. The states also recommended that other adults limit their consumption of smaller King Mackerel (33 – 39 inches) to one meal per week.
In 2001, a mercury-cell chlorine plant in Kentucky was the largest source of mercury air pollution in the state, responsible for 68 percent of the total mercury releases in the state. The plant was operated by Westlake Vinyls. The mercury cells stopped operation in 2002 and the plant was converted to membrane technology which went online in January, 2003. The mercury cells were decommissioned by June, 2003.

Key statistics for Westlake Vinyls’ Calvert City plant (in 2001 when using mercury-cell technology)

- #1 source of mercury released to the air in Kentucky
- #1 source of total mercury pollution in Kentucky
- Responsible for 21% of air mercury emissions in Kentucky
- Responsible for 68% of total mercury emissions in Kentucky
- #14 source of mercury released to the air in the United States
- #13 of total mercury pollution in the United States
- RCRA Corrective Action Site
- Landfill is a Superfund site

In 2001, this plant released 1130 lbs (514 kg) of mercury to the air and disposed of 22,172 lbs (10078 kg) off site (primarily in landfills). In 2002, the year it was decommissioned, this plant reported the release of 6 lbs (2.7 kg) of mercury to the air and disposed of 27,777 lbs (12,626 kg) of mercury off-site.

---

**TOP TEN SOURCES OF MERCURY AIR EMISSIONS (KENTUCKY 2001)**

![Graph showing top ten sources of mercury air emissions in Kentucky in 2001.](image)

Source: Oceana based on EPA Toxics Release Inventory Data
In 2001 the mercury air emissions for the state of Kentucky totaled 5256 lbs. In 2002, after the plant was converted, the state’s mercury air emissions were reduced to 3727 lbs: a decrease of almost 30 percent. The plant’s conversion accounted for nearly 74% of this decline (the plant reduced its mercury air emissions by 1,124 lbs compared to the overall state decline of 1,529 lbs).

**Mercury-Related Fish Advisories**

In 2000, a statewide fish consumption advisory was issued. Women of child-bearing age and children are advised to eat no more than one meal per week of freshwater fish from Kentucky (including the Ohio River) because of mercury. Also, five ponds in the West Kentucky Wildlife Management Area are under fish consumption advisories because of mercury.
The two mercury-cell chlorine plants still operating in Louisiana are the top two sources of mercury air emissions in the state.\textsuperscript{216} The two plants, owned by PPG and Pioneer, are located in Lake Charles and St. Gabriel, respectively. Together, they produce more than 50\% of mercury pollution to air in Louisiana.\textsuperscript{217} Two other plants once operated in the towns of Geismar and Plaquemine.

Lake Charles is in Calcasieu Parish. It is nestled on the Calcasieu River some 30 miles upstream from the Gulf of Mexico. The lake itself is connected to the Gulf via a deep-water ship channel and is the seat and port of entry of Calcasieu Parish. The PPG plant is located near Bayou d’Inde and the Calcasieu River Estuary.\textsuperscript{218} Pioneer’s St. Gabriel facility is located on a 300-acre site near Baton Rouge, in Iberville county. The plant sits on the Mississippi River. This facility was the last mercury-cell plant built in the U.S.\textsuperscript{219}

Key statistics for PPG’s Lake Charles plant (in 2002)\textsuperscript{220}
- \#1 source of mercury air pollution in Louisiana
- \#5 source of total mercury pollution in Louisiana
- Responsible for 30\% of the mercury air pollution in Louisiana
- \#13 source of mercury released to the air in the United States
- \#29 source of total mercury pollution in the United States
- RCRA Corrective Action Site\textsuperscript{221}

![TOP TEN SOURCES OF MERCURY AIR EMISSIONS (LOUISIANA 2002)](image)

Source: Oceana based on EPA Toxics Release Inventory Data
The PPG plant released 1222 lbs (555 kg) of mercury to the air, 7 lbs (3 kg) to water, and disposed of 231 lbs (105 kg) in landfills in 2002. Of the air emissions, 1045 lbs (475 kg) of the mercury came from fugitive emissions while 177 lbs (80 kg) were measured and released stack emissions.

Key statistics for Pioneer's St. Gabriel plant (in 2002)
- #2 source of mercury air pollution in Louisiana
- #6 source of total mercury pollution in Louisiana
- Responsible for 23% of the mercury air pollution in Louisiana
- #25 source of mercury released to the air in the United States
- #60 source of total mercury pollution in the United States
- Proposed RCRA Corrective Action Site

In 2002, the Pioneer plant released 910 pounds of mercury to the air, 13 pounds to the water, and disposed of 261 pounds in landfills. Of the air emissions, 862 lbs (392 kg) of the mercury came from fugitive emissions while 48 lbs (22 kg) were measured and released as stack emissions.

Together, these two facilities are responsible for more than half (53%) of the mercury emissions to air in Louisiana.

Because of contamination, the PPG site is a RCRA Corrective Action Site, while the Pioneer plant has been proposed for such a listing.

Violations

In the last two years Pioneer’s Louisiana Plant has been subject to three formal state enforcement actions and fines totaling $57,557 for violations of the Clean Water Act.

PPG’s Lake Charles plant has been the subject of three enforcement actions under RCRA in the last two years and has paid a total of $48,446 in penalties. The EPA also fined the facility $99,000 for violations of the Clean Air Act in 2003 and a total of $4500 in 2002 and 2003 for violations of the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (the Superfund law).

2004 Mercury-Related Fish Advisories

Louisiana issued 36 mercury advisories, 35 for inland bodies of water and one for the Gulf of Mexico. The most common inland prohibition is on bowfin for all adults and children. As with Georgia, Louisiana has a no-consumption advisory for King Mackerel for the entire coast for fish over 39 inches, and limited consumption for smaller fish.

Each county containing an operating chloralkali plant has one specific advisory. In Calcasieu County, women of childbearing age and children under 7 should not eat largemouth bass, bowfin, or freshwater drum, while the rest of the populations should limit meals to two per month combined from the Calcasieu River. In Iberville, women of childbearing age and children under 7 should limit consumption of largemouth bass, black crappie, and bowfin to no more than one meal per month combined, and no more than four meals combined for the rest of the population (from the I-10 Canal). In Ascension Parish, home to the former BASF plant, there is one specific warning on bowfin for the Blind River.
The only mercury-cell chlorine plant still operating in Ohio is the largest source of mercury air pollution in the state and the fifth largest source of mercury air pollution in the nation. Only one power plant in the United States releases more mercury to the air than ASHTA’s Ohio chlorine plant.\(^{230}\)

The ASHTA Chemicals facility is located in Ashtabula, near Lake Erie and the Ashtabula River, which is an EPA Area of Concern.\(^{231}\)

**Key statistics for ASHTA’s Ashtabula plant (in 2002)\(^{232}\)**

- #1 source of mercury air pollution in Ohio
- #3 source of total mercury pollution in Ohio
- This facility is responsible for 13% of the mercury air pollution in Ohio
- #5 source of mercury air pollution in the United States
- #44 source of total mercury pollution in the United States

In 2002, the ASHTA plant released 1395 pounds of mercury to air and disposed of 173 pounds off-site (primarily in landfills). Of the air emissions, 1046 lbs (475 kg) of the mercury came from fugitive emissions while 349 lbs (158.6 kg) were measured and released as stack emissions.
Violations

In 2001, EPA filed an administrative complaint against ASHTA for violating emissions limits; failure to properly operate and maintain an emissions control system; failure to maintain mercury emissions records; failure to meet requirements of air pollution control technology; producing a pesticide in an unregistered establishment; keeping incomplete records; distributing false, misleading and misbranded pesticide products; and failing to submit annual pesticide reports for 1996, 1997 and 1998. In the settlement of the case, without conceding the factual allegations, ASHTA paid $239,800 in penalties.233

In 2004, Ohio EPA agreed to offset a $1.54 million fine for alleged violations of ASHTA’s wastewater discharge permit at its Ashtabula plant in exchange for the company’s agreement to install air emissions control systems designed to reduce mercury air emissions by 1320 lbs. annually. Additionally, ASHTA has agreed to collect and treat stormwater runoff from the facility, and to pay stipulated penalties if the improvements are not completed on schedule (by the end of 2006).234

2004 Mercury-Related Fish Advisories

Ohio has a statewide advisory that warns adults and children not to eat more than one meal a week of fish caught in any body of water in Ohio because of mercury pollution.235

Statewide there are stricter fish consumption advisories on 51 bodies of water limiting consumption of certain fish to one meal per month.236 Four of these advisories are in Ashtabula County, including the Ashtabula River from Hilldom Road to the mouth at Lake Erie.237
The only mercury-cell chlorine plant still operating in Tennessee is the largest source of mercury air pollution in the state.\textsuperscript{238}

The Olin plant is located in Charleston, in the foothills of the Smoky Mountains, near the Hiwassee River.\textsuperscript{239}

**Key statistics for Olin’s Charleston plant (in 2002)**\textsuperscript{240}

- #1 source of mercury air pollution in Tennessee
- #3 source of total mercury pollution in Tennessee
- Responsible for 31\% of the mercury air pollution in Tennessee
- #15 source of mercury air pollution in the United States
- #24 source of total mercury pollution in the United States
- RCRA Corrective Action Site\textsuperscript{241}

In 2002, the Olin plant released 1,130 lbs (514 kg) of mercury to the air, 14 lbs (6 kg) to water and 1,368 lbs (622 kg) to landfills. Of the air emissions, 1,045 lbs (475 kg) of the mercury came from fugitive emissions while 85 lbs (39 kg) were measured and released as stack emissions.\textsuperscript{242}

![Top Ten Sources of Mercury Air Emissions (Tennessee 2002)](image-url)

Source: Oceana based on EPA Toxics Release Inventory Data
Violations

In 1994, Olin agreed to pay $1 million in civil penalties to settle a federal case filed in 1991 by the United States, which alleged that the company failed to minimize leakages of mercury and mercury-contaminated waste from the cells of its Charleston plant for approximately 17 months, in violation of the Clean Air Act. In 2002, the plant violated its Clean Water Act discharge permit by releasing mercury at levels 117% above allowances. Between July and September of 2003, mercury emissions levels at their highest exceeded Olin’s permit by 704%.

2004 Mercury-Related Fish Advisories

Tennessee issued two mercury-related advisories, warning citizens not to eat fish caught in the east fork of Poplar Creek (in Anderson and Roane counties) or in the north fork of Holston River (in Sullivan and Hawkins counties).
Texas’ last mercury-cell chlorine plant, an OxyVinyl plant located in Deer Park and owned by Occidental Chemicals Corporation, was temporarily closed (“idled”) in 2001 because of financial constraints. Though not currently operating, the plant could resume operations in future.

Key statistics for OxyVinyl’s Deer Park plant (when operating in 2001):  
- #4 source of mercury released to the air in Texas  
- #1 source of total mercury pollution in Texas  
- Responsible for 7% of the mercury air pollution in Texas  
- #19 source of mercury released to the air in the United States  
- #40 source of total mercury pollution in the United States

In 2001, this plant released 1095 lbs (497.7 kg) of mercury to the air, 2 lbs (0.9 kg) to water and disposed of 2233 lbs (1015 kg) off site (primarily in landfills). Of the air emissions, 1048 lbs (476 kg) of the air pollution came from fugitive emissions while 47 lbs (21.4 kg) were measured and released as stack emissions.

Even while closed in 2002 it reported a considerable amount of mercury releases to the EPA.

Key statistics for OxyVinyl’s Deer Park plant (in 2002):  
- #5 source of mercury released to the air in Texas  
- #4 source of total mercury pollution in Texas.  
- Responsible for more than 6% of the mercury air pollution in Texas  
- #21 source of mercury released to the air in the United States  
- #36 source of total mercury pollution in the United States

In 2002, this plant reported releasing 1046 lbs (475 kg) of mercury to the air and disposed of 768 lbs (349 kg) off site (primarily in landfills). In 2003, the plant reported a minimal amount of mercury releases to the air.
2004 Mercury-Related Fish Advisories

The Texas Department of Health issued twelve advisories for mercury pollution. There is one no-consumption advisory in Upper Lavaca Bay while the rest are for limited consumption. Like Georgia and Louisiana, they have a Gulf-wide advisory for King Mackerel. In Texas, King Mackerel over 43 inches should not be consumed. For fish between 43 and 37 inches, adults should only eat one eight ounce meal per week, while women of child-bearing age and children should only eat one meal per month.
The only mercury-cell chlorine plant still operating in West Virginia is the largest source of mercury pollution in the state.\textsuperscript{252}

The PPG plant is located in Natrium/New Martinsville, near the Ohio River.\textsuperscript{253}

**Key statistics for PPG’s New Martinsville plant (in 2002)**\textsuperscript{254}

- #1 source of mercury air pollution in West Virginia
- #1 source of total mercury pollution in West Virginia
- Responsible for 24.2% of the mercury air pollution in West Virginia
- #12 source of mercury air pollution in the United States
- #29 source of total mercury pollution in the United States

In 2002, the PPG plant released 1233 pounds of mercury to the air, 34 pounds to the water, and disposed of 900 pounds in landfills. Of the air emissions, 1045 lbs (475 kg) of the mercury came from fugitive emissions while 188 lbs (85.5 kg) were measured and released as stack emissions.\textsuperscript{255}

**TOP TEN SOURCES OF MERCURY AIR EMISSIONS (WEST VIRGINIA 2002)**

Source: Oceana based on EPA Toxics Release Inventory Data
Violations

The PPG site in West Virginia has been in violation of RCRA from October 2002 to present, and the Clean Water Act from April 2003 to January 2004. The state filed compliance orders for the RCRA violations, but financial penalties have not been assessed.

2004 Mercury-Related Fish Advisories

In December 2004, West Virginia issued mercury-related fish consumption advisories for eleven fish – caught in the state. They include:

- Black bass (smallmouth, largemouth, spotted less than 12 in.), Sauger, Channel catfish over 17 inches, all suckers / 2 meals per month
- Black bass (smallmouth, largemouth, spotted greater than 12 in.), Walleye, Saugeye, White bass, hybrid striped bass / one meal per month
- Rainbow Trout / no limit
- Channel catfish under 17 inches and all other species / one meal per week

In addition, there are stronger advisories for fish in twelve bodies of water.
The only mercury-cell chlorine plant still operating in Wisconsin is the largest source of mercury pollution in the state. The Vulcan Chemicals plant is located in Port Edwards, in Wood County near the Wisconsin River.

Key statistics for Vulcan’s Port Edwards plant (in 2002)

- #1 source of mercury air emissions in Wisconsin
- #1 source of total mercury pollution in Wisconsin
- Responsible for 28% of mercury air pollution in Wisconsin
- #18 source of mercury air emissions in the United States
- #48 source of total mercury pollution in the United States
- RCRA Corrective Action Site

In 2002, the Vulcan plant released 1082 lbs (492 kg) of mercury to the air, 2 lbs (0.9 kg) to the water and disposed of 377 lbs (171 kg) off-site (primarily to landfills). Of the air emissions, 1054 lbs (479 kg) of the mercury came from fugitive emissions while only 28 lbs (13 kg) were measured and released as stack emissions.
Violations

Between April and June, 2002, the plant violated its discharge permit under the Clean Water Act, releasing wastes with mercury levels exceeding the permitted amount by 135 percent. Six months later, they exceeded their level by two percent.²⁶³

2004 Mercury-Related Fish Advisories

Mercury has become a serious pollution problem in Wisconsin. Warnings about mercury levels in fish have been issued for all of its lakes and rivers.²⁶⁴ According to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, there is mercury in every single one of Wisconsin’s 15,057 lakes.²⁶⁵ The statewide advisory says that women of childbearing years, nursing mothers and all children under 15 should limit themselves to one meal per month of walleye, northern pike, smallmouth bass, largemouth bass, channel catfish, flathead catfish, white sucker, drum, burbot, sauger, sturgeon, carp, white bass, rock bass or other species, and one meal per week of Bluegill, sunfish, black crappie, white crappie, yellow perch or bullheads. Muskellunge should not be eaten by women of child bearing age and children due to high mercury content.²⁶⁶

Women beyond their childbearing years and men should limit themselves to one meal per week of walleye, northern pike, smallmouth bass, largemouth bass, channel catfish, flathead catfish, or other species.²⁶⁷

In 83 bodies of water, there are stricter fish consumption advisories for mercury.²⁶⁸ The majority of these say that women of child-bearing age and children should not eat certain species of fish (with size restrictions) from that body of water. The most common species to avoid is walleye, based on size. There are also additional species for which consumption should be limited to one meal per week.²⁶⁹
There are 53 mercury-emitting chlorine plants in Europe (44 in Western Europe, seven in the EU 2004 accession countries, and two in the EU 2007 accession countries). They are located in Belgium, the Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Italy, The Netherlands, Poland, Slovenia, the Slovak Republic, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.

The following information is largely based on 2001 data from the European Pollutant Emission Register (EPER), provided the European Commission. Data were downloaded in December, 2004.
In Belgium in 2001, there were three plants in operation that use mercury-cell technology. All three rank in the top ten for mercury emissions in Belgium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Emissions to air (kg)</th>
<th>Emissions to water (kg)</th>
<th>Total Emissions (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solvay Solvic SA</td>
<td>Jemeppe</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>177.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tessenderlo Chemie</td>
<td>Tessenderlo</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>174.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvay NV</td>
<td>Antwerpen / Lillo</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the three mercury-cell chlorine factories operating in Belgium, Solvay S.A. – Solvic S.A. in Jemeppe ranked first in mercury emissions with 177.7 kg (391 lbs). Tessenderlo Chemie in Tessenderlo followed with 174.6 kg (384 lbs) released. Solvay NV in Antwerpen (Lillo) came in third with 113 kg (249 lbs).

According to the EPER database, these facilities account for 22% of the mercury air emissions and 26% or mercury emissions overall.

Compared with all sources reporting to EPER in Belgium, Solvay S.A. – Solvic S.A ranked 1st, Tessenderlo Chemie ranked 2nd and Solvay NV ranked 6th for total mercury releases. For mercury air releases, Tessenderlo Chemie ranked first, Solvay NV was number six and Solvay S.A. – Solvic S.A. ranked tenth.

In Finland, as of 2001 there was one mercury-cell plant owned by Eka Chemicals in Oulu. Eka Chemicals was the 2nd largest source of total mercury pollution in Finland. It was the third largest source of mercury pollution to the air.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Emissions to air (kg)</th>
<th>Emissions to water (kg)</th>
<th>Total Emissions (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eka Chemicals Oy</td>
<td>Klooritehdas, Oulu</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, Eka Chemicals was responsible for more than 12% of the mercury releases to air and more than 13% of the mercury released overall in Finland in 2001, the most recent year for which data were available. This plant is expected to stop mercury-based operations in 2010 according to the OSPAR Commission.

In 2001, there were seven active mercury cell plants in France, operated by Atofina (3), PC de Loos, Solvay, Albemarle PPC and SPC Harbonnières.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Emissions to air (kg)</th>
<th>Emissions to water (kg)</th>
<th>Total Emissions (kg)</th>
<th>Rank for total emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solvay Electrolyse</td>
<td>Lavaux</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>213.6</td>
<td>#3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atofina</td>
<td>St Auban</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>#4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atofina</td>
<td>Lavera</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>#5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atofina</td>
<td>Jarrie</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>#6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albemarle PPC</td>
<td>Thann</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>#7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Loos (Tessenderlo Chemie)</td>
<td>Loos</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>#64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emissions data is available for all of the facilities except for SPC Harbonnières.

Of the seven mercury-cell chlorine factories in France, Solvay in Tavaux ranked first in mercury emissions with 213.6 kg (470 lbs). Atofina in St Auban followed with 195 kg (429lbs) released. Atofina in Lavera came in third with 161 kg (354 lbs).

These six facilities account for 23% of the mercury air emissions, 38% of the mercury emissions to water and almost 25% of mercury emissions overall.

The PC de Loos plant had low mercury emissions in 2001 of 11 kg (24 lbs) but the plant produces smaller amounts of chlorine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Rank for mercury air emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solvay Electrolyse</td>
<td>#3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atofina St Auban</td>
<td>#4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atofina Lavery</td>
<td>#5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atofina Jarrie</td>
<td>#7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of all sources, chlorine plants ranked in top ten for mercury air emissions.

**TOP TEN SOURCES OF MERCURY AIR EMISSIONS (FRANCE 2001)**

Source: Oceana based on EPER data.

In 2001, there were ten plants in operation that use mercury cell technology, according to the OSPAR Commission. Of the 10 mercury-cell chlorine factories in Germany, Degussa AG Werk (Degussa-Huls) in Lülsdorf ranked first in mercury emissions with 312 kg (686 lbs). Vestolit in Marl followed with 273 kg (601 lbs) released. Vintron in Knapsack came in third with 186 kg (409 lbs).

These ten facilities account for 21.4% of the mercury air emissions and 21.4% of mercury emissions in Germany overall.

Compared with all sources, Degussa AG Werk (Degussa-Huls) in Lülsdorf was the number three source of mercury emissions to the air and total releases (to the air and water) in 2001. Six of the plants fell in the top twenty for both air emissions and total releases, out of 112 facilities reporting in Germany.
In Greece, there was one plant in operation that uses mercury cell technology, according to Euro Chlor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Emissions to air (kg)</th>
<th>Emissions to water (kg)</th>
<th>Total emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hellenic Petroleum S.A. Inorganics Unit</td>
<td>Thessaloniki</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared with all other sources of mercury, Hellenic Petroleum’s inorganics chemicals unit in Thessaloniki is the sixth source of air and fifth largest source of total mercury emissions in the country based on EPER data. It is the number one source of mercury pollution to water.

This facility accounts for 3% of the mercury air emissions, 24% of mercury releases to water and 4% of mercury emissions overall.

In Hungary, there was one plant in operation that uses mercury cell technology, according to Euro Chlor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Emissions to air (kg)</th>
<th>Emissions to water (kg)</th>
<th>Total emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Borsodchem Rt</td>
<td>Kazinbarcika</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared with all other sources of mercury, Borsodchem Rt is the largest source of air emissions and second largest source of total mercury emissions in the country based on EPER data.

This facility alone accounts for 52% of the mercury air emissions and 27% of mercury emissions overall.

In Italy in 2001, there were eight plants in operation that use mercury cell technology, according to Euro Chlor.

### Mercury Emissions in Italy 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Emissions to air (kg)</th>
<th>Emissions to water (kg)</th>
<th>Total Emissions (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solvay Chimica Italia S.p.A.</td>
<td>Rosignano</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvay Solexis S.p.A. – Stabilimento di Bussi</td>
<td>Bussi</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tessenderlo Italia SRL</td>
<td>Pieve Vergonte</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline di Volterra (PI) (Stabilimento sito)</td>
<td>Volterra</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffaro S.p.A. – Stabilimento di Brescia</td>
<td>Toreviscosa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enichem (Syndial SPA Stabilimento di Assemini)</td>
<td>Porto Marghera</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2001, only seven of the eight operating plants reported their releases to the EPER. There were no data for the Eredi Zarelli facility in Picinisco.


Compared with all sources in Italy, EniChem S.p.A. (SYNDIAL S.p.A) in Priolo was the number three source of mercury emissions to the air in 2001, while Solvay Chemica Italia S.p.A. in Rosignano was number six.

These seven facilities account for 15% of the mercury air emissions and 14% of mercury emissions overall.

**Source:** Oceana based on EPER data.

The Netherlands decommissioned one mercury cell plant in 2000 and the country’s remaining plant is scheduled to be converted before 2010. The remaining plant is operated by Akzo Nobel in Hengelo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Emissions to air (kg)</th>
<th>Emissions to water (kg)</th>
<th>Total emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akzo Nobel Chemicals BV</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared with all other sources of mercury, this Akzo Nobel facility is the second largest source of air emissions and total mercury releases in the country based on EPER data.

This facility accounts for 19% of the mercury air emissions and 18% of mercury releases overall.

There are currently nine mercury-emitting chlorine plants in Spain. In 2001, only seven of the nine operating plants reported their releases to the EPER. There were no data for the Solvay facility in Martorel or for the Elnosa facility in Lourizan.

Of the seven mercury cell chlorine factories reporting, Solvay Quimica in Torrelavega ranked first in mercury emissions with 190 kg (418 lbs). Aragonesas in Vileseca followed with 162.4 kg (357.2 lbs) released. Aragonesas in Huelva came in third with 90.8 kg (199.7 lbs).

These seven facilities account for 16% of the mercury air emissions, 46% of mercury released to water and almost 20% of mercury emissions overall. Based solely on the data for these seven facilities reporting, the industry released 455 kg to the air, 166.3 kg to the water for a total of 621.3 kg. These numbers would clearly be higher with the addition of the other two plants.

Compared with all sources, Solvay Quimica in Torrelavega was the number five source of total mercury emissions to the air and water in 2001. Four of the plants fall in the top 15 for total emissions, out of 48 facilities reporting in Spain. Six of these plants made it into the top 20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Emissions to air (kg)</th>
<th>Emissions to water (kg)</th>
<th>Total emissions</th>
<th>Rank by air</th>
<th>Rank by total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solvay Quimica (Fábrica de Torrelavega)</td>
<td>Torrelavega</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>#8</td>
<td>#5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aragonesas Industrias Y Energia, SA</td>
<td>Vilaseca, Tarragona</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>162.4</td>
<td>#6</td>
<td>#7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aragonesas Industrias y Energía SA Puerto</td>
<td>Huelva</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>#10</td>
<td>#9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ercros Industrial, SA</td>
<td>Flix</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>#11</td>
<td>#12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quimica del Cinca SA</td>
<td>Monzon</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>#15</td>
<td>#16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electroquímica de Hernani, SA</td>
<td>Hernani</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>#36</td>
<td>#16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aragonesas Industrias Y Energia, SA</td>
<td>Sabinanigo / Huesca</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>#23</td>
<td>#25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the seven mercury cell chlorine factories reporting, Solvay Quimica in Torrelavega ranked first in mercury emissions with 190 kg (418 lbs). Aragonesas in Vileseca followed with 162.4 kg (357.2 lbs) released. Aragonesas in Huelva came in third with 90.8 kg (199.7 lbs).

Sweden currently operates two mercury cell plants: Akzo Nobel – Eka Chemical in Bohus and Norsk Hydro/ Hydro Polymers in Stenungsund. In addition, the Akzo Nobel plant in Skoghall, which operates with membrane technology, reported releases of mercury in 2001.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Emissions to air (kg)</th>
<th>Emissions to water (kg)</th>
<th>Total Emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eka Chemicals AB</td>
<td>Bohus</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro Polymers</td>
<td>Stenungsund</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akzo Nobel Base Chemicals AB</td>
<td>Skoghall</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the three chlorine factories reporting, Eka Chemicals (operated by Akzo Nobel) in Bohus ranked first in mercury emissions with 33.6 kg (73.9 lbs). Hydro Polymers/Norsk Hydro in Stenungsund followed with 16.6 kg (36.5 lbs) released. Akzo Nobel in Skoghall came in third with 16.5 kg (36.3 lbs).

In Sweden, the mercury based chlorine factory, Eka Chemicals (operated by Akzo Nobel) was the third largest releaser of mercury to the air, and second largest releaser of total mercury in 2001. In September 2004, Akzo Nobel announced they were closing that plant at the end of 2005. This will result in a significant reduction of mercury releases in Sweden.\cite{sweden_mercury_releases}

In 2001, Hydro Polymers/Norsk Hydro in Stenungsund and Akzo Nobel in Skoghall ranked 5th and 6th respectively nationwide for total mercury releases.

These facilities combined released almost 25% of the mercury air emissions, 10% of mercury released to water and 22% of mercury releases overall in Sweden.

![TOTAL MERCURY AIR EMISSIONS (SWEDEN 2001)](image)

Sweden has problems with mercury contamination of land and waterways from mercury chlorine plants. The soil at the Akzo Nobel site in Bohus is contaminated with mercury and other toxic compounds.

Sweden also has a problem with contamination in fish. In 1991, it was estimated that pike weighing one kilogram (2.2 lbs) in over 50% of the lakes in Sweden contained high levels of mercury, over 0.5 mg/kg wet weight. A more recent analysis has shown a 20% decrease in fish contamination since then because of reductions in emissions and deposition of mercury. It is estimated that the country will need to further decrease their mercury emissions by 80% to bring fish concentrations down below this toxic level.

In the United Kingdom in 2001, there were three plants in operation that use mercury cell technology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Emissions to air (kg)</th>
<th>Emissions to water (kg)</th>
<th>Total Emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ineos Chlor LTD</td>
<td>Runcorn</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albion Chemicals LTD</td>
<td>Sandbach</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>173.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodia Eco Services LTD</td>
<td>Staveley</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the three mercury cell chlorine factories in the United Kingdom, Ineos Chlor in Runcorn ranked first in mercury emissions with 1151 kg (2532 lbs). Albion Chemicals in Sandbach followed with 173.2 kg (381 lbs) released.

Compared with all 74 mercury releasers in the country, Ineos Chlor ranked first, and Albion ranked third.

These three facilities account for 33% of the mercury air emissions, 46% of emissions to water and 33% of mercury emissions overall.
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Oceana campaigns to protect and restore the world’s oceans. Our teams of marine scientists, economists, lawyers and advocates win specific and concrete policy changes to reduce pollution and to prevent the irreversible collapse of fish populations, marine mammals and other sea life. Global in scope and dedicated to conservation, Oceana has campaigners based in North America (Washington, D.C.; Juneau, AK; Los Angeles, Calif.), Europe (Madrid, Spain; Brussels, Belgium) and South America (Santiago, Chile). More than 300,000 members and e-activists in over 150 countries have already joined Oceana.